

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspfo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/754,025 | 01/08/2004 | Indu Bhusan Chatterjee | 3030.003USV | 6063 | |
| 75 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| PAUL D. GREELEY, ESQ. OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P. | | | WALLS, DIONNE A | | |
| 10th FLOOR | | , I E. | WALLS, DIONNE A | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | ONE LANDMARK SQUARE STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682 | | 1731 | | |
| , , , | 01 00,01 2002 | | DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004 | 004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . , | | | A |
|--|--|--|----------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - '/ |
| Office Action Con | 10/754,025 | CHATTERJEE, II | NDU BHUSAN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dionne A. Walls | 1731 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply | ation appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence ac | Idress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled of 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.5,39 and 40 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vis/are allowed. | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON', by statute, cause the application to become AB, the mailing date of this communication, even if ties. This action is non-final. Tallowance except for formal matter under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. In the application. | reply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel (HS from the mailing date of this continued to the | ommunication. |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s) | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | R 1.121(d).)-152 |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | 102. |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for | uments have been received. uments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication No. <u>10/076,033</u> . ceived in this National S | tage |
| ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 48) Paper No(s)/M | mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-1 | 52) |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 2 and 5, in line 2, delete "smole resonsible", and replace with – smoke responsible --,

In claims 2 and 5, in line 3, delete "siad" and replace with - said -,

In claims 2 and 5, in line 3, delete "comprising", and replace with - comprising: --

In claims 2 and 5, line 6, delete "cigarette", and replace with - cigarettes --,

In claim 2, last line of step (e), delete "and",

In claim 2, first line of step (f), replace "step e" with -- step (e) -- ,

In claim 2, last line of step (f), delete "solution." and replace with - solution; -- .

Applicant is asked to thoroughly review the claims for any other typographical and/or grammatical errors. Correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 5, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 2 recites the following limitations: In step (a), "the above solution", "the filtrate", ""the desired cigarette smoke solution (cs solution)"; In step (b), "the lower methylene chloride layer", "the upper yellow coloured aqueous layer"; In step (c), "said aqueous layer of cigarette smoke", "the pooled yellow butanol extract", "the lyophilized material", " the acetone solution", "said acetone extract"; In step (d), "said methanol solution", "the developed material", "the band material", " the acetone layer"; In step (e), "the aqueous solution", "the upper n-butanol layer", "the major cs oxidant"; In step (f), "the parent cs solution"; In step (h), "the parent tar solution". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 6. Claim 5 recites the following limitations: In step (a), "the above solution", "the filtrate", ""the desired cigarette smoke solution (cs solution)"; In step (b), "the lower methylene chloride layer", "the upper yellow coloured aqueous layer"; In step (c), "said aqueous layer of cigarette smoke", "the pooled yellow butanol extract", "the lyophilized material", " the acetone solution", "said acetone extract"; In step (d), "said methanol

Application/Control Number: 10/754,025

Art Unit: 1731

solution", "the developed material", "the band material", "the acetone layer"; In step (e), "the aqueous solution", "the upper n-butanol layer", "the major cs oxidant"; In step (f), "the parent cs solution". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

- 7. Claim 39 recited the following limitations: In line 1-2, "said isolated pure cigarette smoke (cs) oxidant". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitations in the claim.
- 8. Claim 40 recited the following limitations: In line 2, "cs solution". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitations in the claim.
- 9. Applicant is asked to thoroughly review the claims for any additional antecedent basis or other issues that may affect the clarity of the claim recitation. Correction is requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2,5, 39 and 40 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections and rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art of record teaches methods wherein combustion products of cigarette smoking are analyzed for levels of particular constituents, i.e. tar, nicotine. However, said art neither teaches nor suggests a process for the isolation of p-benzosemiquinone, from cigarette smoke, in the manner claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne A. Walls Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731 Application/Control Number: 10/754,025

Art Unit: 1731

November 15, 2004

Page 6

les es autourist à suffrie autoure confermation que fratein attre.